REPORT ON THE

FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN

ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR

TRADEMARK

11	
'frademarks:	

TO: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

AQ 120 (Rev. 2/99)

Washington, DC 20231

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Central District - Fastern Division on the following

DOCKETHO	DATE FILED,		TRICT COURT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BY AN PHONE	<u> </u>		District of California	
PLAINTIFF Heeling Sports Lime	CV07-0318	VAR	DEFENDANT Airrollershoes.com, Kyle Mulvey 1-10, inclusive	, Sebastian Triffro and Does
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	1	HOLDER OF PATENT OR	TRADEMARE
16,406,038	6/18/02	Heeling	Sports Limited	9
26,739,602	5/24/04	Heeling	Sports Limited	1
3 6,746,026	6/8/04	Heeling	Sports Limited .	CALL CALL
4				
5		Ţ		

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY G Amendment	G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
,1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:						
DECISION/JUDGEMENT						
	<u> </u>					
CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK ADRIFNE MORRIS	SEP 1 0 2008				

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Local University (1997)



J. Andrew Coombs (SBN 123881) andy@coombspc.com .18-62 Annie S. Wang (SBN 243027) annie@coombspc.com J. Andrew Coombs, A Prof. Corp. 517 E. Wilson Ave., Suite 202 Glendale, California 91206 Telephone: (818) 500-3200 5 Facsimile: (818) 500-3201 6 Robert J. Ward Thomas C. Wright 7 Gardere Wynne Sewell, L.L.P. 1601 Elm Street, Suite 3000 Dallas, Texas 75201 8 Telephone: (214) 999-4200 Facsimile: (214) 999-3266 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Heeling Sports Limited 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA- EASTERN DIVISION 13 Case No. EDCV 07-318 VAP (OPx) 14 Heeling Sports Limited, 15 AMENDED JUDGMENT Plaintiff, PURSUANT TO DEFAULT v. 16 Court: Hon. Virginia A. Phillips Date: June 23, 2008 Time: 10:00 a.m. Air Roller Shoes, et al. 17 Defendants. 18 This cause having come before this Court on the motion of Plaintiff Heeling 19 Sports Limited ("Heeling" or "Plaintiff") for damages pursuant to default and 20 permanent injunction against Defendants Air Roller Shoes, Kyle Mulvey and 21 Sebastian Trifiro (collectively "Defendants"); 22 AND, the Court having read and considered the pleadings, declarations and 23 exhibits on file in this matter and having reviewed such evidence as was presented in 24 support of Plaintiff's Motion; 25 AND, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, the Court finds the 26 following facts: 27 28 Heeling Sports v. ARS, et al: Proposed Judgment Pursuant to

Default

27 |

Plaintiff is the owner of all rights in and to certain patents including, but not limited to United States Patent No. 6,406,038 ("the '038 Patent"), United States Patent No. 6,739,602 ("the '602 Patent"), and United States Patent No. 6,746,026 ("the '026 Patent") (collectively "the Patents"), and all such Patents, and patent claims therein, are valid and enforceable.

At all relevant times Heeling has been and is now the sole and exclusive assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest to the Patents.

Heeling has not granted Defendants or to any of them any license or permission to use or exploit any of its patents, including the '038, '602 and the '026 Patents.

Defendants engage in the unauthorized business of importing, distributing, offering for sale and sale of wheeled shoes directly infringing and inducing the infringement of the Patents ("Unauthorized Product") online on AirRollerShoes.com and also in the City of Ontario, California and County of San Bernardino, among other places.

Defendants' importing, advertising, displaying, promoting, marketing, distributing, providing, offering for sale and selling of the Unauthorized Product was engaged in willfully and intentionally, without leave or license from Plaintiff, in violation of Plaintiff's rights in and to the Patents.

Therefore, based upon the foregoing facts, and
GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS in
addition to the Permanent Injunction already ordered in this matter, that this Judgment
shall be and is hereby entered in the within action as follows:

- 1) This Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this action and over the subject matter hereof pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq., 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 & 1338.
- 2) Service of process was properly made on the Defendants.
- 3) Defendants have distributed, sold, and offered for sale unauthorized, infringing products covered by Plaintiff's Patents that infringe upon the Patents.

1

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

- 5) Defendants are ordered to pay damages to Plaintiff pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 in the sum of Sixty-Nine Thousand Four Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$69,420.00) in patent damages.
- Defendants are ordered to pay Four Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-Six 6) Dollars and Eighty Cents (\$4,376.80) for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and Local Rule 55-3.
- Defendants are ordered to pay post-judgment interest on the principal amount of 10 the judgment to Plaintiff at the statutory rate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1961(a). 11
 - This Judgment shall be deemed to have been served upon Defendants at the time of its execution by the Court.
 - The Court finds there is no just reason for delay in entering this Judgment and, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(a), the Court directs immediate entry of this Judgment against Defendants.
 - The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action to entertain such further proceedings and to enter such further orders as may be necessary or appropriate to implement and enforce the provisions of this Judgment.

DATED: August 29, 2008

Judge, United States District Court, Central District of California

PRESENTED BY: J. Andrew Coombs, A Prof. Corp.

/s/ Annie S. Wang

Heeling Sports v. ARS, et al: Proposed Judgment Pursuant to

Attorneys for Plaintiff Heeling Sports Limited

Default

- 3 -